Corrosão das Armaduras na Infraestrutura de Pontes de Concreto Armado

Richard F. Stratfull - 1973

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
DIVISION OF HIGHWAYS

ENVIRONMENTAL INFLUENCE
ON THE CORROSION OF REINFORCING STEEL
IN CONCRETE BRIDGE SUBSTRUCTURES

By

J. L. Beaton
and
R. F. Stratfull

Richard F. Stratfull

Corrosion Engineer of the California Division of Highways.

- Beaton, J. L. , Stratfull, R. F., *" Environmental Influence on the Corrosion of Reinforcing Steel in Concrete Bridge Substructures"* , California Department of Highways, Sacramento, California - Jan. 1973

- Spellman, D. L. and Stratfull, R. F., *"Concrete Variables and Corrosion Testing"* - Highway Research Record 423, 1973.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS
DIVISION OF HIGHWAYS

ENVIRONMENTAL INFLUENCE
# ON THE CORROSION OF REINFORCING STEEL
IN CONCRETE BRIDGE SUBSTRUCTURES

By

J. L. Beaton

and

R. F. Stratfull

Z

62-17
DND

e 42nd Annual Meeting
vay Research Board
ngton, D.C.

7 – 11, 1963

Technical Report Documentation Page

1. REPORT No.

2. GOVERNMENT ACCESSION No.

3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG No.

4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE
Environmental Influence on the Corrosion of Reinforcing Steel
in Concrete Bridge Substructures

5. REPORT DATE
1963

6. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION

7. AUTHOR(S)
Beaton, J.L. and R.F. Stratfull

8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT No.

9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS
State of California
Department of Public Works
Division of Highways

10. WORK UNIT No.

11. CONTRACT OR GRANT No.

13. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED

12. SPONSORING AGENCY NAME AND ADDRESS

14. SPONSORING AGENCY CODE

15. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES

16. ABSTRACT

In the past 50 years of highway construction in the State of California, hundreds of reinforced concrete bridges have been constructed. The majority of these structures have required a minimum of maintenance attributable to the corrosion of reinforcing steel. However, there are a number of bridges giving evidence of cracking due to steel corrosion.

In California the most serious example of costly maintenance has been the repair of the deterioration of the San Mateo-Hayward Bridge (1). Although the deterioration of this structure has received considerable attention from the California Division of Highways, the distress of numerous reinforced concrete structures exposed to marine environments is not unusual (2). In a 1917 report (2) of the inspection of 146 structures on the east and west coasts of the United States, it was observed that "Concrete can be used successfully in sea water, but the price of success is eternal care." This statement was based upon the existing technology and a concrete coverage of one or two inches over the reinforcing steel.

In addition to the 1917 inspection of the condition of American-made structures, an inspection by the Committee of the Institution of Civil Engineers on structures in British waters in 1920 also revealed the deterioration of numerous reinforced concrete structures (3). More recent reports (4, 5, 6) have shown that there continues to be distress of reinforced concrete structures in marine environments. On the basis of these reports of deterioration of coastal structures, and the experience in California, it appears that cost of maintaining these structures due to corrosion of steel may be expected to be extraordinary.

17. KEYWORDS

18. No. OF PAGES:
84

19. DRI WEBSITE LINK
http://www.dot.ca.gov/hq/research/researchreports/1961-1963/62-17.pdf

20. FILE NAME
62-17.pdf

State of California - Department of Public Works Division of Highways

Sacramento, California - Jan. 1973

" In the past 50 years of highway construction in the State of California, hundreds of reinforced concrete bridges have been constructed.

The majority of these structures have required a minimum of maintenance attributable to the corrosion of reinforcing steel.

However, there are a number of bridges giving evidence of cracking due to steel corrosion.

In California the most serious example of costly maintenance has been the repair of the deterioration of the San Mateo-Hayward Bridge (1), opened in 1929.

Foto Wikipedia - 2014

*Although the deterioration of this structure has received considerable attention from the California Division of Highways, the distress of numerous reinforced concrete structures exposed to marine environments is not unusual In a 1917 report (2) of the inspection of 146 structures on the east and west coasts of the United States, it was observed that " **Concrete can be used successfully in sea water, but the price of success is eternal care.**"*

This statement was based upon the existing technology and a concrete coverage of one or two inches over the reinforcing steel.

In addition to the 1917 inspection of the condition of American-made structures, an inspection by the Committee of the Institution of Civil Engineers on structures in British waters in 1920 also revealed the deterioration of numerous reinforced concrete structures (3).

More recent reports (4, 5, 6) have shown that there continues to be distress of reinforced concrete structures in marine environments.

On the basis of these reports of deterioration of coastal structures, and the experience in California, **it appears that cost of maintaining these structures due to corrosion of steel may be expected to be extraordinary."**

<div align="center">

+++

</div>

J. L. Beaton   -2
R. F. Stratfull

"...

environment. A mathematical relationship was derived that describes the percentage of structures that had deterioration for any concentration of chlorides in the immediate area.

Laboratory tests continuing over a period of 2½ years indicated a relationship between the cement and water contents of concrete and its ability to gain and lose water vapor in controlled environments. Using the variables that appear to influence the movement of water vapor through concrete, an equation was developed that indicates the probable time to cracking of a reinforced concrete substructure. The developed equation is based upon field observations and laboratory tests and takes into account the effect of the variables of cement and mixing water content, the thickness of cover over the steel, and the chloride content of the environment.

J. L. Beaton
R. F. Stratfull
                                                              -3-

INTRODUCTION

In the past 50 years of highway construction in the State
of California, hundreds of reinforced concrete bridges have been
constructed. The majority of these structures have required a
minimum of maintenance attributable to the corrosion of reinforcing
steel. However, there are a number of bridges giving evidence of
cracking due to steel corrosion.

In California the most serious example of costly mainte-
nance has been the repair of the deterioration of the San Mateo-
Hayward Bridge[1]. Although the deterioration of this structure has
received considerable attention from the California Division of
Highways, the distress of numerous reinforced concrete structures
exposed to marine environments is not unusual[2]. In a 1917 report[2]
of the inspection of 146 structures on the east and west coasts of
the United States, it was observed that "Concrete can be used
successfully in sea water, but the price of success is eternal
care." This statement was based upon the existing technology and
a concrete coverage of one or two inches over the reinforcing
steel.

In addition to the 1917 inspection of the condition of
American-made structures, an inspection by the Committee of the
Institution of Civil Engineers on structures in British waters in
1920 also revealed the deterioration of numerous reinforced con-
crete structures[3]. More recent reports[4,5,6] have shown that there
continues to be distress of reinforced concrete structures in
marine environments. On the basis of these reports of deteriora-
tion of coastal structures, and the experience in California, it

J. L. Beaton
R. F. Stratfull

-4-

appears that cost of maintaining these structures due to corrosion of steel may be expected to be extraordinary.

Previously, the investigation of the corrosion of reinforcing steel by the Materials and Research Department of the California Division of Highways has been primarily directed toward determining the effect of marine environments on the durability of reinforced concrete. However, in 1959 an investigation[7] was made of the distress of a 9 year old highway bridge that was constructed in the arid Colorado Desert of California. It was found that this structure had the same evidence of distress as was found on the San Mateo-Hayward Bridge[1] across the San Francisco Bay. On this same State Highway, 66 reinforced concrete bridges were constructed during the years of 1950-51, and 20 of the 66 bridges or about 30 percent of the structures were found to have corroding reinforcing steel. From the data collected during this investigation, it appeared that the high concentration of salts in the soil (flow is usually not present at these bridge sites except once or twice yearly) was responsible for the observed distress of the structures. Those bridges which were placed in soils of high salt concentrations (up to 41,000 parts per million of chlorides) showed distress while those in low salt concentration (less than 200 ppm) were in a satisfactory condition.

Because of these findings on corrosion of reinforcing steel in an environment other than marine, a statewide investigation was made to determine the scope of this problem. The following are the results of this investigation.

Condition of Bridges

The environments in which bridges in California were inspected for possible corrosion of reinforcing steel were:

J. L. Beaton   -5-
R. F. Stratfull

(1) coastal, (2) valley, (3) desert, and (4) mountain. The 239
bridges that were inspected varied in age from up to 50 years. The
condition survey of the structures was visual and was concerned
with determining the incidence of concrete cracking parallel to the
reinforcing steel or rust stains on the surface of the concrete.
These criteria were considered to be indicative of the corrosion of
reinforcing steel. Typical examples of these observed conditions
are shown in Photographs Nos. 1 and 2. Photo 1 depicts the cracking
of reinforced concrete piles in a 10 year old bridge exposed to
tidal water near San Diego, California. Photo 2 shows an area of
advanced concrete spalling and the exposed reinforcing steel on the
San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge.

Of the 239 bridges that were inspected, 66 showed evidence
of corrosion of the reinforcing steel in some member of the struc-
ture. For the purpose of this investigation, only the reinforced
piling, piers or walls were considered to be directly affected by
the variables found there. Distress observed in the rail, beams,
etc. of the bridge could conceivably be influenced by air-borne
salts in the atmosphere, or by other atmospheric variables. Distress
in these members was therefore considered to be outside the scope of
this specific investigation. Based upon this criterion, there were
37 structures which had visual evidence of corrosion of reinforcing
steel in a pile or wall that was in direct contact with the natural
soils or waters. The 29 structures which had visual evidence of
deterioration in members other than the substructure were not used
in the analysis that follows. Also, 5 structures that had less than
4 years of service were not used in the analysis.

In addition to the visual inspection of the condition of
the structures, the following environmental data were collected for

Foto 1

Photograph 1

Vertical concrete cracking of 10 year old reinforced concrete
bridge piles in tidal water near San Diego, California.
Photo taken in 1962.

Photo 1 depicts the cracking
of reinforced concrete piles in a 10 year old bridge exposed to
tidal water near San Diego, California.

Foto 2

Photograph 2

Spalling of concrete in beam caused by the corrosion of
reinforcing steel, San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge.
Photo taken in 1962.

Photo 2 shows an area of advanced concrete spalling and the exposed reinforcing steel on the San Francisco-Oakland Bay Bridge.

Ponte da Marambaia / Rio de Janeiro / Brasil

Construção 1943 - Fotos 2007

J. L. Beaton   -6-
R. F. Stratfull

a possible correlation to the condition of the structures: (1) pH
or hydrogen-ion concentration, (2) sulfates as SO_4, (3) chlorides,
as Cl, that were contained in the soils and, if any, the natural
drainage waters, and (4) the electrical resistance of the soils and
drainage waters.

Chlorides and Concrete Distress

In the analysis of concrete distress due to the corrosion
of reinforcing steel, it was not possible to determine when the
crack in the concrete occurred. The only fact known about the dis-
tress was that at the time of the inspection of a structure a crack
was or was not present. Therefore, the data were analyzed by mathe-
matically grouping the bridges into age versus deterioration and
also versus the environmental factors.

Figure 1, Influence of Chlorides on the Deterioration of
Reinforced Concrete Bridge Piles or Walls, shows the method that was
used for plotting the "raw" data. On this chart it will be noted
that the structures were first segregated into average age groups of
10, 20, 30, and 40 years of service. Then a plot was made of the
condition of the structures at the particular chloride concentration
of the environment. Figure 1 shows that there is a relationship
between the chloride concentration of the environment and the dis-
tribution of the condition of structures.

There were 29 structures which had visual evidence of
deterioration in other than substructure members, 5 non-deteriorated
structures with less than 4 years of service, 15 bridge locations in
which a chemical analysis of the soil was not obtained, and 4 struc-
tures in which deterioration was observed subsequent to the initial
investigation; these preceeding data were not included as a part of
the original data reduction. However, with judgment some of the
preceeding data were included in the chart shown as Figure 8.

FIGURE 1

INFLUENCE OF CHLORIDES ON THE DETERIORATION OF REINFORCED CONCRETE BRIDGE PILES OR WALLS

J. L. Beaton
R. F. Stratfull   -7-

The data represented by Figure 1 were then tabulated into distribution curves of the percentage of structures deteriorated at each of the four time increments. The data were then mathematically analyzed to determine the yearly rate at which the structures would deteriorate in each chloride concentration. The result of the analysis is shown by Figure 2.

The correlation equation was found to be: Equation 1: $R_y = 0.165 \ K^{0.42}$, wherein R_y = percent of total structures showing distress per year, and K is the chloride concentration in parts per million found in either the soil or water that is in physical contact with the substructure. After the equation was derived, it was tested for its ability to duplicate the original distribution curve of the condition of the bridges.

By the method of least squares, a statistical correlation indicated that there was a correlation coefficient of 0.957 and the standard error of estimate was 7.08 percent. This indicated that the results computed by use of the derived equation were within 7.08 percent of the actual percentages of structures found to be in distress when inspected. The level of significance of the correlation is greater than 0.001.

It should be clearly understood that these data represented by Equation 1 are representative of a distribution curve of the observed condition of a number of bridges. Analyzing the performance of a single structure would require a thorough and detailed investigation of all variables that might cause a deviation from the mean. Without doubt, there are variations in the relative protective value of concrete made throughout the years as a result of the difference in the environment, workmanship, curing, etc. For example, these data are for sections of a bridge substructure that were visible to the investigator. The incidence of deterioration below ground or under water is not considered to be applicable to analysis by these data as it was not studied.

Figure 2

CORRELATION OF CHLORIDE CONCENTRATION
AND THE PERCENT PER YEAR OF BRIDGES
THAT REQUIRE REPAIR

$$R_y = \frac{R}{y} \times 100 = .165 K^{0.42}$$

Ry = Percent of Structures Showing Distress Per Year
R = Percent of Structures Showing Distress
Y = Years of Service
K = Chlorides in Environment, Parts Per Million

PERCENT OF BRIDGES THAT REQUIRE REPAIR-PER YEAR

PPM-CHLORIDES
(LOG SCALE)

Figure 2

J. L. Beaton   -8-
R. F. Stratfull

The records indicate that the concrete normally used for the construction of bridge piles consisted of six sacks of cement per cubic yard of concrete, and the design provided two inches of concrete cover over the reinforcing steel.

Sulfates and Concrete Distress

As previously stated, the sulfate (SO_4) contents of the soil and water, if any, at the bridge sites were determined and were mathematically computed in the same manner as outlined for chlorides.

The result of the analysis indicated that there was correlation between the sulfate content in the environment and the percentage of structures showing distress. However, it was generally observed that when a high sulfate content was found in the environment, generally there was a high chloride content also.

This observation suggested that there could be an interdependence between the chloride and sulfate concentration and the deterioration rate of the structures.

In order to determine the possible interdependence of the chlorides and sulfate concentration and bridge distress in the environment, the data obtained for sulfates were analyzed for a correlation to bridge conditions in the same manner as outlined for chlorides. Then the quantity of sulfates was plotted against the rate of bridge deterioration at each age group. At each of these same points of rate of bridge deterioration and age groups, the concentration of chlorides and sulfates was tabulated. The results of this comparison are shown by Figure 3, Influence of Sulfate and Chloride Concentration on Bridge Deterioration, and indicate that the more imminent cause of reinforcing steel corrosion is the chlorides present in the environment.

Figure 3

INFLUENCE OF SULFATE AND CHLORIDE
CONCENTRATION ON BRIDGE DETERIORATION

FIGURE 3

J. L. Beaton   -9-
R. F. Stratfull

Study of Concrete Variables

A. Mixes

In addition to the study of the correlation between the
concentration of chlorides in the environment and the condition
of the bridges, studies were made of the vapor transmission
characteristics of various types of concrete.

It is considered that the corrosion of steel in concrete
is the result of the deposition of salts adjacent to the steel
as a result of moisture movement. Therefore, the influence of
the variables in mixtures was compared to the relative ability
of the concrete to gain and lose moisture. The concrete test
blocks were alternately exposed (1) in a fog room at 73.4° F.
and 100% R.H. and (2) to drying at 73.4° F and 50% R.H. (for
periods of about four months). It was observed that the
3"x3"x11.25" concrete blocks would approach moisture equilib-
rium after three to four months of exposure.

In all cases the concrete specimens were made in triplicate
with the following variables: (1) aggregate having 1.5%, 4%,
and 10% absorption by weight, (2) equivalent of 4, 6, and 8
sacks of Type II cement per cubic yard, and (3) slump of 2, 4,
and 6 inches. The maximum aggregate size was 100% passing the
3/4" screen. In addition, neat cement bars of 1"x1"x11.25"
were cast with the equivalent of about 31, 32, 34, and 35 sacks of
cement per cubic yard and the resulting neat cement flows of
these mixes were 10, 37, 56, and 56 respectively.

All of the concrete blocks and neat cement bars were cured
for seven days in the fog room and then placed in the dry room
for the beginning of the first dry cycle. The following data
for the concrete blocks were obtained after approximately 2.5

J. L. Beaton   -10-
R. F. Stratfull

years of alternately exposing the concrete to the fog and drying
room atmospheres. The study of the concrete blocks is continuing.

Water Voids

There are various methods utilized to express the quantity
of water gained and lost by concrete[8]. However, this investiga-
tion was primarily concerned with comparing the gain and loss of
moisture by various concrete mixtures under conditions comparable
to a natural environment. Also, it was desired that the measure-
ment of the gain and loss of moisture be on a continuing basis,
so as to study the variable of continued concrete hydration.
Therefore, none of the concrete specimens has as yet been sub-
jected to oven drying.

For this reason the term "water voids" has been utilized to
describe the volume of water that is absorbed and evaporated by
concrete that is subjected to the alternate conditions of 50%
relative humidity at 73.4° F and 100% R.H. at 73.4°F. None of
the concrete specimens was subjected to other than the described
environmental conditions except for the rare occasions when it
was necessary to mechanically adjust the environmental rooms.

The weight gain and loss of moisture of the concrete speci-
mens were measured to the nearest one gram during each cycle of
exposure at time intervals of 1, 2, 4, 8, 16, etc. days. This
was continued until the data indicated it would take in excess
of one month of exposure for the concrete to have a weight gain
or loss of one gram. Generally, this condition of moisture
stability was obtained within approximately 128 days or about
four months of exposure.

After approximately one year of the alternate exposure of
the concrete to fog wetting and drying, it was observed that

J. L. Beaton   -11-
R. F. Stratfull

the weight of water gained and lost for each triplicate set of
concrete blocks was approaching a reproducible value. There-
fore, the measurements of moisture movement in this study that
are considered to be significant are for a period of exposure
of the specimens which begin after the concrete has aged approx-
imately one year. Concrete that is greater than one year old
is termed "mature" concrete.

It has been previously mentioned that there were three
types of aggregate utilized in the test with absorptions of
1.5%, 4%, and 10% by weight. The use of this range of aggre-
gates resulted in concrete that varied in weight from about
150 to 110 pounds per cubic foot. Therefore, the measured
weight of water gained and lost by the concrete specimens was
compared on a volume basis to eliminate misleading data on
variations which could occur as a result of extremes in concrete
densities.

Figure 4, Water Voids Versus Mixing Water in Mature Concrete,
is a plot of the relationship found between the total water used
in making the concrete and the volume of water that would be
absorbed and evaporated during the environmental exposures of
the specimens.

The derived water void equation indicates that the relative
volume of water which was gained and lost by the concrete in
this test was a function of the total water used in the concrete
and the quantity of cement. It was found that either an in-
crease in the added mixing water or an excess contained in the
aggregate increased the volume of water that transpired through
the concrete for a given cement content. Conversely, for a

WATER VOIDS VERSUS MIXING WATER IN MATURE*CONCRETE

WATER VOIDS AS PERCENT OF CONCRETE VOLUME

F.O. Agg. 1.5% Abs.— by Weight

V Agg. 4% Abs.— by Weight

C.L. Agg. 10% Abs.— by Weight

4 Sacks of Cement per cu. yd.

6 Sack

8 Sack

12 Sack

16 Sack

32 Sack

2" 6" 4"

6"

2" 4" 6"

2" 4" 6"

2" 4" 6"

2" 4" 6"

2" 4" 6"

Slump

Slump

Slump

⊡ 31.1 Sack (neat cement)

⊡ 32.4 Sack (neat cement)

⊡ 33.5 Sack (neat cement)

⊡ 34.7 Sack (neat cement)

TOTAL MIXING WATER — PERCENT CONCRETE VOLUME

$$V_w = \frac{0.85(W_m)^{1.17}}{C^{0.717}}$$

V_w = Water voids as percent of concrete volume
W_m = Mixing water in percent of concrete volume
C = Sacks of cement per cubic yard

Note: Mixing water includes that contained by aggregate.
Water voids computed from the volume of moisture
gained and lost during prolonged exposure to
73.4° F. at 50% R.H. and 73.4 F. at 100% R. H.

* Concrete aged in excess of 1 year

J. L. Beaton   -12-
R. F. Stratfull

given total mixing water content, an increase in cement con-
tent reduced the quantity of water that was absorbed or
evaporated by the concrete under these test conditions.

The equation which described the measured gain and loss of
moisture in mature concrete is:

$$\text{Equation 2.} \quad V_w = \frac{W}{V} \times 100 = \frac{0.85 \, (W_m)^{1.17}}{C^{0.717}}$$

V_w = water voids in percent of concrete volume as
measured by loss in drying at 50% R.H. and
gain by exposure in the fog room

W = volume of water gained and lost

V = volume of concrete

W_m = total water contained in concrete mix as
percent of concrete volume

C = sacks of cement per cubic yard of concrete

Variations in Water Voids

During the first year of measuring the water voids, or
volume of moisture that transpired through the concrete test
specimens, it was observed as indicated on Figures 5 and 6
that this quantity of moisture movement would not be reasonably
duplicated by each subsequent cycle of exposure. It was ob-
served that under the test conditions it was approximately 200
days after the concrete specimens were cast that a maximum
total movement of water would occur. This maximum movement
of water appeared to occur when the blocks were placed in
their first exposure to the fog room. The concrete made with
the aggregate having 10% absorption was the exception.

The variations in the volume of moisture gained and lost
during the first year of exposure appeared somewhat discon-
certing when analyzing the data, and these variations were
given additional mathematical study.

FIGURE 5

INFLUENCE OF CEMENT CONTENT ON THE VOLUME CHANGE OF WATER VOIDS— AGGREGATE CONSTANT

Note: Water voids computed from the measured volume of moisture gained and lost during indicated type of exposures. Concrete not oven dried. Dried at 73.4° F. and 50% R.H. Fog room at 73.4 F. and 100% R.H.

Figura 6

INFLUENCE OF AGGREGATE ON VOLUME CHANGE OF WATER VOIDS - CEMENT CONTENT CONSTANT

Note: Water voids computed from the measured volume of moisture gained and lost during the indicated type of exposures. Concrete not oven dried. Drying at 73.4°F. and 50% R.H. Fog room at 73.4°F. and 100% R.H.

FIGURE 6

J. L. Beaton   -13-
R. F. Stratfull

Figure 5, Influence of Cement Content on the Volume Change
of Water Voids -- Aggregate Constant, indicates that the cement
content and time are the variables that are responsible for the
early differences in the volume of moisture absorption and loss
in the concrete specimens. The data show that with an increas-
ing cement content the volume of water movement decreases to
a minimum value in proportion to the cement content. For
instance, the concrete blocks which were made with the equiva-
lent of 8 sacks of cement per cubic yard reduced about 35% from
their maximum volume of moisture movement while the 4 sack mix
reduced about 18 percent.

The aggregates did not appear to exert a major influence on
the change in the volume of moisture movement. This fact
appears to be shown by Figure 6, Influence of Aggregate on
Volume Change of Water Voids - Cement Contents Constant.

These data indicate that the absorptive and the evaporative
characteristics of concrete are highly variable at early ages,
and also vary with the cement content and the total water con-
tent of the mix.

Rate of Moisture Movement

During the measurements of the gain and loss of moisture
of the concrete blocks, the periodic quantity of water move-
ment was not found to be constant but decreased with the time
of exposure. This observation was not considered to be unusual
when one considers that there is a limited quantity of moisture
that would be available for evaporation. It was also obvious
that when moisture had evaporated from the surface of a block,
it would be readily apparent by a measurement of weight loss.
However, the moisture that migrated from the center of the

J. L. Beaton
R. F. Stratfull

block toward the surface would not be detected by a weight difference until it evaporated into the atmosphere. Therefore, the rate of moisture change should vary as the distance that the water would be required to travel.

On an empirical basis, calculations were made on the rate and depth of moisture loss with the following considerations: The quantity of moisture that a concrete block contained was known because this was measured by continuous weight measurements. It was assumed that the moisture was evenly distributed throughout the concrete mass at the end of each exposure period, and in all cases could be computed for any point within the concrete on the basis of relative volume. Therefore, when a concrete block was in a saturated condition, and it lost a measured quantity of water, the volume of concrete that had contained this weight of water would be a function of the absorption of the concrete. Since the concrete blocks had constant dimensions, the depth below the surface of the block was computed which would give the necessary volume of concrete that could hold the measured loss of water. Calculations of this type were utilized to determine the necessary depth below the concrete surface that would account for the measured gain and loss of water. It is readily apparent that this method of computation assumes that a portion of the concrete is relatively dry while another may be saturated. The reality of this assumption is highly speculative, as would be other assumptions on the relative degree of contained moisture content at various points within the concrete mass.

An analysis of the data indicated that there were two predominant variables that influenced the calculated rate of loss

J. L. Beaton
R. F. Stratfull

-15-

of moisture during the exposure in the dry room at 73.4° F and 50% R.H. These variables were: (1) the cement content and (2) the assumed volume of the concrete that contained the evaporable water. A plot of these data is described by the following relationship:

Equation 3: $t_y = 10^{0.0442C} S_i^{2.22} 0.086$

t_y = time in years to dry to equilibrium with an environment of 73.4° F and 50% R.H.

C = sacks of cement per cubic yard of concrete

S_i = depth below surface in inches

The analysis of the data indicated that the absorptive characteristics of the aggregate, per se, were not a predominant influence on the calculated rate of moisture evaporation.

The calculated rate of moisture movement through the concrete when exposed to the fog room environment of 73.4° F and 100% R.H. inferred that the concrete mix variables were not primary test variables. For a calculated depth of approximately 75% of the half depth of the concrete, all specimens absorbed moisture at a rate of about 0.2 inches per day, then reduced in velocity at greater depths.

The results of the absorption test of the concrete in the fog room appear to be inconclusive in determining the influence of the concrete variables on the calculated rate of absorption. It should be pointed out that although the blocks were exposed in a fog room of controlled temperature and humidity, the fog dispersion within the room is not uniform. For this reason the concrete blocks exposed to the fog room were moved about the room to average out the influence of differences of fog dispersion. It is possible that the variations of the fog room

J. L. Beaton   -16-
R. F. Stratfull

environment are greater than the influence of the concrete
mixes. The data indicate that the rate of moisture absorption
of the concrete in this test was greater than evaporation rate.
Therefore, the evaporative characteristics of the concrete
appear to be the controlling variable in the transpiration of
moisture through concrete.

Relating Laboratory and Field Studies

It has been determined by field exposure tests that the
protection of reinforcing steel provided by concrete is a
variable which depends upon the type of cement, water cement
ratio, admixtures, and thickness of concrete cover over the
reinforcement[9,10]. Thus far, this investigation has not direct-
ly considered the influence of concrete variables on preventing
or inhibiting the corrosion of reinforcing steel. However, it
is probable that the durability of reinforced concrete will be
a function of at least two of the many variables, (1) the
absorption and (2) the rate of moisture movement through the
concrete.

Because of the test methods utilized in this study, there
is no direct measure of the relationship of the saturated
surface dry to oven dry method for measuring concrete absorp-
tion of water. However, it is surmised that the quantity of
absorbed water found in this study could be related to the
amount determined in oven drying method.

The concrete variables observed in this study were related
to the performance of the concrete in an atmospheric type of
environment. It is believed that the behavior of concrete in
an atmospheric type of environment is similar to the performance
of concrete exposed near the ground or water line. This

J. L. Beaton
R. F. Stratfull

assumption is based upon the measured differentials in the chloride content of concrete that has been exposed to a marine environment[1]. In this previously reported study, it was shown that the chloride content decreases with depth below the surface. Therefore, with a given chlorinity of the environment, the observed differences in salt content of the concrete are assumed to be caused by wetting and drying.

With other environmental conditions being equal, it appears that the quantity of chlorides deposited within various concrete mixes could be directly proportional to the rate at which water could move from within the concrete to the atmosphere. This could be described by the equation $Q = T_y W_v$, where Q = Quantity of water evaporated per year, T_y = Rate or cycles of evaporation per year, and W_v = Volume of water evaporated per cycle. As previously stated, Equation 3 indicates the time in years when concrete would dry to equilibrium to a calculated depth and is: $T_y = 10^{0.0442C} S_i^{2.22} 0.086$ where T_y = Time in years to dry at 73.4° F and 50% R.H., C = Sacks of cement per cubic yard of concrete, and S_i = the calculated depth of drying in inches below the surface.

To obtain the rate or cycles of drying per year, it is necessary to take the reciprocal of the expression, thus the rate per year of drying is:

$$T_y = \frac{1}{10^{0.0442C} S_i^{2.22} 0.086}$$

The volume of water as a percent of concrete volume that would be evaporated from mature concrete is described by Equation 2:

J. L. Beaton
R. F. Stratfull   -18-

$$V_w = \frac{W}{V} \times 100 = \frac{0.85(W_m)^{1.17}}{C^{0.717}}$$

V_w = water voids in percent of concrete volume

W = volume of water gained and lost, 73.4° F at 50% R.H. and 73.4° F at 100% R.H.

V = volume of concrete = AS_i = Area, A, times Depth, S_i, in inches

W_m = total water contained in concrete mix, as percent of concrete volume

C = sacks of cement per cubic yard of concrete

By combining Equations 2 and the reciprocal of Equation 3, it is possible to obtain an expression that relates the evaporative characteristics of the concrete in these test conditions, which is:

$$Q_y = T_y \, V_w \, V$$

Q_y = quantity of water evaporated per year in cubic inches

T_y = rate or cycles of drying per year

V_w = water voids as percent of concrete volume

V = volume of concrete, cubic inches

If Equations 2 and 3 are combined, Equation 4 will be derived and indicates the comparative yearly volume of water which could be evaporated by concrete. Equation 4 is:

$$Q_y = \frac{0.0988(W_m)^{1.17} A}{10^{0.0442C} C^{0.717} S_i^{1.22}}$$ = cubic inches of water evaporated per year at 50% R.H., 73.4° F, (A = cross-sectional area in sq.in.)

Construction records indicate that the over-all average design of the concrete mix for reinforced concrete piles for the past 50 years could be: C = 6 sacks of cement per cubic yard of concrete, W_m = 22% by volume, total mixing water, and S_i = 2" of concrete cover over the reinforcing steel. Using these basic figures, the yearly quantity of water evaporated from an average concrete pile which is saturated with water and exposed to 73.4° F and 50% R.H. could be: using Equation 4, $Q_a = \frac{0.0988(W_m)^{1.17} A}{10^{0.0442C} C^{0.717} S_i^{1.22}} = 0.2356A$

FIGURE 7

CHART FOR ESTIMATING DETERIORATION TIME FOR REINFORCED CONCRETE PILE

$$R_t = \frac{10^{0.0442C} \; C^{0.717} \; S_i^{1.22} \; 1011}{K^{0.42} \; W_m^{1.17}}$$

Example: Reinforced concrete pile - 6 sacks
of cement per cubic yard, 2 inches
concrete cover over steel, 500 ppm
chlorides in the environment, 15% by
volume of total mixing water including
that contained in the aggregate.
Follow nomograph in sequence indicated
by lines I, II and III.
Answer is approximately 50 years.

CONDITION OF 205 BRIDGES VERSUS AVERAGE AGE AT INSPECTION AND CHLORIDE CONCENTRATION

J. L. Beaton
R. F. Stratfull

[1]COMPARISON OF THEORETICAL AND ACTUAL TEST DATA FOR REINFORCED CONCRETE PILES

TABLE I

Sacks of Cement per cu. yd.	Concrete		Sheerness--Years[2]		Watford--Years[2]	
	Slump	Cover	Actual	Estimated[3]	Actual	Estimated[3]
10.6	1/2"	1"	6	8	*	5
		2"	**	20	*	11
	2"	1"	10	8	**	4
		2"	**	18	*	10
6.4	1/2"	1"	3	4	1	3
		2"	10	10	**	6
	2"	1"	2	4	1	2
		2"	10	9	**	5
3.8	2"	1"	3	2	3	1
		2"	8	5	6	3

1 Test data obtained from Reference 9 and are the results of field exposure tests in England.

2 Years to cracking of test pile - 5" x 5" x 5'.

3 Estimate is based upon the Equation $R_t = 10 \dfrac{0.0442C_C^{0.717}S_i^{1.22}10^{11}}{K^{0.42}W_m^{1.17}}$

* Test conditions altered after 10 years, cracked in 10 to 20 years.

** Test conditions altered after 10 years, not cracked in 20 years.

J. L. Beaton
R. F. Stratfull
<div align="right">-21-</div>

Correlations to Independent Tests

Generally there is a lack of detailed data in the literature that would independently test the accuracy of the results of this investigation. One of the more detailed investigations which have been reported in sufficient scope is that by Lea and Watkins[9].

Their reported test of the protective value of concrete in preventing the corrosion of reinforcing steel was started in 1929 at two locations in England. One exposure site was in natural sea water at Sheerness and the other was at Watford in sea water concentrated to three times its normal salinity.

At each of the test sites, concrete piles of 5 inches square in section and 5 feet long were exposed to the two environments. The piles were constructed of mixes that varied from $\frac{1}{2}$" to 2" of slump, 3.8, 6.4, and 10.6 sacks of cement per cubic yard and 1" and 2" of cover over the reinforcing steel. Unfortunately, this test was not continued beyond 10 years under the original test conditions. However, comprehensive data are available for the first 10 years of controlled exposure and are compared to the estimated time to deterioration as based upon this study. This comparison is shown on Table I.

In an attempt to correlate the empirical equation of California experience to the reported test data, a statistical comparison was made by the method of least squares for the piles exposed at Sheerness. The authors stated that the exposure conditions at Watford were not as severe as Sheerness so the statistical comparison was not made for this location. For 6 degrees of freedom, the level of significance was approximately 0.01 with a correlation coefficient of 0.809. The standard error of estimate was found to be $\pm$ 1.92 years.

Due to the lack of details as to when the reinforced concrete test piles had cracked in the Long Time Study of Cement Performance[10], it is not possible to directly correlate their test results to the estimated performance as indicated by the results of this study. Even so, it was stated in the 10 year report "that for the salt water exposures the effect of rusting and expansion of the reinforcing steel is a major deteriorating influence". All of the concrete piles were constructed so that there was 1.5 inch of concrete cover over the reinforcing steel. The concrete tested consisted of the following mixes: Mix 1, 5 sacks of cement per cu. yd. and 2" of slump. Mix 2, 7 sacks, 2" slump. Mix 3, 7 sacks, 8" slump. The estimated time to corrosion of the reinforcing steel for the piles made of the reported concrete mixes is less than 8 years. At the St. Augustine test site, their data showed that for Mix 1 (5 sack, 2" slump) 89% of the total piles were cracked, Mix 3 (7 sacks, 8" slump) 47% were cracked, and 16% of the Mix 2 (7 sacks, 2" slump) piles were cracked after 15 years. At the Corona Del Mar test site, 100% of the Mix 1 (5 sacks, 2" slump) were cracked, 86% of the Mix 3 (7 sacks, 8" slump), and none of the Mix 2 (7 sacks, 2" slump) piles were cracked after about 15 years of exposure.

Although the actual time when a pile had cracked due to reinforcing steel corrosion was not reported, the deterioration of the piles apparently occurred at an early age and confirms the corrosion time computations to a limited degree. It should be pointed out that the estimated time to deterioration as indicated by this study does not infer a degree or rate of deterioration at any location. The data indicate the time to the incidence of deterioration.

J. L. Beaton
R. F. Stratfull   -23-

As a result of corrosion of the steel in reinforced concrete bridge piles in Texas, they required extensive repair after approximately 7.5 years of service[12]. The original concrete mixture in this structure consisted of 5 sacks of cement per cu. yard, 6.5 gallons of water per sack of cement and 2 inches of cover over the reinforcing steel.

The stream water was reported to be "loaded" with chlorides. The total quantity of chlorides was not reported, although deposits of salts were clearly visible on the surface of the banks of the stream. Assuming a concentration of chlorides equal to that found in sea water, which could be considered as "loaded" quantity, the estimated years of service to corrosion of a pile in this structure would be 8 years. Based upon the assumption of the quantity of chlorides in the Texas bridge environment, the field data agree with the estimated life.

The results of an inspection of reinforced concrete structures on the east coast of the U. S. A. were reported in 1957[6] and showed that 6 out of 10 structures had reinforcement corrosion at an average inspected age of 23 years. Although neither construction details nor the initial time when the structures were found to be deteriorated were reported, the inspection indicates that the normal construction methods, which were utilized until 1946, were not adequate to insure an indefinite maintenance free service life of structures exposed to sea water. In sea water, the expected time to corrosion of piles based upon a 6 sack mix, with 15% total water by volume and 2 inches of cover is computed to be 10 years.

DISCUSSION

From the viewpoint of an investigator, it has been extremely fortunate that the basic design of reinforced concrete substructures in California has not varied within drastic limits for the past 50 years. Otherwise, use of the data shown in this paper to correlate the condition of these inspected structures with the environment would have been exceedingly difficult or impossible. Even though this correlation was found, it should be remembered that the data reflect the normal exposure of bridge substructures for that portion which can be observed. Underwater or underground sections were not investigated, and the application of these data to those conditions should be tempered with performance data.

For the purpose of comparing variables in concrete design, a direct ratio (of the evaporative characteristics) was established between the average concrete used for 50 years and the various types exposed to the laboratory test conditions. It is speculated that this relationship of relative concrete evaporative characteristics would be consistent with that observed in other environments. On this basis, it appears that careful consideration should be given to the use of these data when applied to structures that are not in direct exposure to the free-flow of air such as that found in highway bridges. For instance, 70% of the highway bridges built and exposed to sea water with the recommendations of concrete design by some California Harbor Departments[13] would be expected to have corrosion of reinforcing steel in approximately 13 years of service. Evidently, there must be an environmental difference in the exposure conditions between a highway bridge and harbor facilities on the California Coast, and

J. L. Beaton
R. F. Stratfull

between various harbor departments. For instance, Gaye and Agatz[14], commenting on the construction of dock works in Germany during the 1920s, indicated that 10 centimeters of covering is necessary to protect the iron elements from corrosion. Using their described concrete mixtures, a California bridge probably would show deterioration of the substructure in approximately 20 years. It should be pointed out that these German structures were built of concrete to which trass had been added to the mix. The English tests[9] have shown that for equal conditions, concrete made of trass cements had proved to be better than a Portland cement in inhibiting the corrosion of reinforcement. Also, results of the tests performed in the United States indicate that the rate of deterioration of reinforced concrete piles varies not only with the basic mixes utilized but also with the type of cement[10].

There appear to be numerous factors[6,8,15] that could influence the deterioration of a structure exposed to a corrosive environment such as: the influence of the type of cement[6,8,9,10,13], variables of aggregate size, grading or manufacture[13], additives[9,14,15,16,17,18], workmanship[2,6,8,13,14,18], curing[6], and the environment[15,19,20]. These factors require considerable attention when designing a structure for a specific maintenance free service life.

J. L. Beaton
R. F. Stratfull

SUMMARY

Two hundred thirty-nine reinforced concrete bridges were inspected throughout the State of California, and it was found that corrosion was attacking the embedded steel in widely dispersed geographic locations. A study of the data indicated that the primary cause of corrosion was the presence of chlorides in the environment. Apparently, the chlorides permeate the concrete, and after a period of exposure depending upon chloride concentration in the natural soils or waters, resulting in the corrosion of the reinforcing steel.

Based upon mathematical distribution curves, it was found that 70% of the structures which were placed in an environment of a certain chloride concentration would have corrosion of the reinforcement according to the following relationship:

$$R = \frac{424}{K^{0.42}}$$

R is the observed years of service when 70% of the structures had corrosion of the reinforcing steel, and K equals parts per million chlorides in the soil or water. By the method of least squares, it was found that this formula duplicated the distribution curve of the field results within about 7% of the actual percentage of structures that were affected by corrosion.

The past history of bridge construction in the State of California indicates that the average reinforced concrete piles have generally been made of a mixture of 6 sacks of cement per cubic yard of concrete and 2" of concrete covering over the steel.

A mathematical analysis of the data indicates that the concentration of sulfates (SO_4) in the environment is not the primary cause of reinforcing steel corrosion. It is speculated that the

J. L. Beaton
R. F. Stratfull

presence of excessive sulfates could lead to the corrosion of rein-
forcing after it had caused chemical attack and possibly disinte-
gration of the concrete.

Laboratory tests were made of the moisture absorption and
evaporative characteristics of various concrete mixes consisting of
combinations of the following: 4, 6, 8 sacks per yard; 2, 4, and 6
inches of slump; aggregate of 1.5, 4 and 10 percent absorption by
weight; and also various mixtures of neat cement bars. When these
test specimens were exposed in a fog room at 73.4° F and 100% R.H.,
it was found that the calculated rate moisture absorption of the
various mixes could not be distinguished from each set of specimens.
Evidently, the variables of the fog environment were such that the
small differences in the absorption rates of the concrete mixes
could not be detected.

When the concrete specimens were exposed to the dry room
at 73.4° F and 50% R.H., it was found that the rate of moisture
evaporation at the calculated depth would vary as the following
relationship derived from the test data:

$$Q = \frac{0.0988(W_m)^{1.17}A}{10^{0.0442C}C^{0.717}S_i^{1.22}}$$

Q = cubic inches of water evaporated per year in 50%
     R.H. and 73.4° F

W_m = total mixing water as percent of concrete volume

C = sacks of cement per cubic yard of concrete

S_i = depth below surface in inches

A = area of concrete in square inches

Because of the relationship of the chloride concentration
in the environment to the deterioration time of the structures, it
was assumed that the time to the build-up of a harmful quantity of

J. L. Beaton   -28-
R. F. Stratfull

salts would relate to the volume of water that could evaporate in
the various mixes, and thus deposit an accumulating quantity of
chlorides in the concrete. The previous equation, which showed the
relationship of the various mixes to the rate of moisture evapora-
tion, was utilized in a ratio of the evaporative characteristics of
the average concrete to a specific concrete in the following manner:

$$R_t = R \frac{Q \text{ average}}{Q \text{ specific}}$$ which becomes the basic equation for

estimating the probable number of years to corrosion of the rein-
forcing steel in 70% of the structures placed in the normal highway
bridge environment, which is:

$$R_t = \frac{10^{0.0442C_c} 0.717 S_i 1.22 1011}{K^{0.42} W_m^{1.17}}$$

It should be pointed out that this derived equation does
not imply that a structure will be in structural distress at the
indicated time. It only represents the number of years which could
be expected to elapse before corrosion of the embedded steel could
cause rust stains and/or cracking of the concrete. The equation
does not indicate the rate at which corrosion will occur in different
substructure members of the same bridge, only when the first member
(pile) will be visibly affected. It is expected that the longer
the period to the first evidence of corrosion the greater the time
to the visible distress of the last member of the same structure.

In an attempt to correlate this data to that reported by
others, it was found that a correlation was difficult because of a
lack of construction or environmental details. However, in the
exposure tests of piles performed in England, the indicated age to
distress as determined by this test method is within approximately
2 years of the actual time required for distress for the 10 years

J. L. Beaton   -29-
R. F. Stratfull

of controlled environmental conditions. Other comparisons are made
between the reported conditions of structures elsewhere in the
United States, and although the test could not be related in terms
of years, it did indicate whether a designer could anticipate the
premature deterioration of the bridge substructure.

The work presented in this paper should not be thought of
as the "final answer". Instead, it is hoped that we have made a
step toward finding a means to evaluate the affect of the environ-
ment on the durability of reinforced concrete bridge piles, and
that we have directed attention to the data needed to predict service
life.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

These investigations were conducted as a part of the
activities of the Materials and Research Department of the California
Division of Highways. The authors wish to express their appreciation
to Mr. F. N. Hveem, Materials and Research Engineer, and to Mr.
Bailey Tremper, Supervising Materials and Research Engineer, Retired,
for their advice and direction during these studies. They also wish
to thank the numerous personnel of the California Division of High-
ways and Bridge Department who extended their aid and cooperation
during this study.

J. L. Beaton
R. F. Stratfull   -30-

REFERENCES

1. Causes and Repair of Deterioration to a California Bridge Due to Corrosion of Reinforcing Steel in a Marine Environment:

    Part 1. Method of Repair by M. W. Gewertz

    Part 2. Fundamental Factors Causing Corrosion by Bailey Tremper, John L. Beaton, R. F. Stratfull

    National Academy of Sciences, National Research Council Publication 546; Highway Research Board Bulletin 182, 36th Annual Meeting, January 7-11, 1957.

2. Wig, R. J. and L. R. Ferguson. Reinforced Concrete in Sea Water Fails from Corroded Steel. Engineering News Record, Vol. 79, No. 15, October 11, 1917.

3. Deterioration of Structures in Sea Water. First Report. H. M. Stationery Office (1920) (England).

4. Copenhagen, W. J. Preliminary Investigation of the Atmospheric Corrosion of Reinforcing Steel in Concrete Structures in Marine Environments. South African Builder, December 1953.

5. Halstead, S. and L. A. Woodworth. The Deterioration of Reinforced Concrete Structures Under Coastal Conditions. Trans. So. African Inst. Civil Engrs. 5, No. 4 (1955) April; No. 10 (1955) October.

6. Factors Affecting Durability of Concrete in Coastal Structures. Technical Memorandum No. 96, Beach Erosion Board, Corps of Engineers, June 1957.

7. An unpublished Departmental Correspondence of the California Division of Highways. A Report on An Investigation of the Corrosion of Reinforcing Steel in the Oten Wash Bridge to Mr. F. W. Panhorst from Mr. F. N. Hveem, dated Sept. 15, 1959.

J. L. Beaton
R. F. Stratfull

8. Lea, F. M. The Chemistry of Cement and Concrete, Revised. St. Martins Press Inc., New York 1956.

9. Lea, F. M. and C. M. Watkins. The Durability of Reinforced Concrete in Sea Water, 20th report. National Building Studies Research Paper No. 30. London, H. M. Stationery Office, 1960.

10. Tyler, I. L. Long Time Study of Cement Performance in Concrete Chapter 12, Jour. A. C. I., V. 31, No. 9, March 1960. Also see Discussion by R. F. Stratfull V. 32, No. 3, September 1960.

11. Blanks, R. F., Chairman, Ten Year Report on the Long Time Study of Cement Performance in Concrete. Proc. A.C.I., Vol. 49, 1953, p. 601.

12. Waltermire, R. D. Repairs to Little Red River Bridge, Texas Highways, Vol. 9, No. 3, March 1962.

13. Wakeman, C. M., E. V. Dockweiler, H. E. Stoner, and L. L. Whiteneck. Use of Concrete in Marine Environments. Jour. A. C. I., Vol. 29, No. 10, April 1958, p. 841. Also see the Discussion by P. J. Fluss, and S. S. Gorman, Jour. A. C. I., Vol. 30, No. 6, December 1958, Part 2, p. 1313.

14. Gaye and Dr. Ing. Agatz, 15th International Congress of Navigation, Venice 1931, 2nd Section. Ocean Navigation, 2nd Communication.

15. Concrete Manual, U. S. Department of the Interior. Bureau of Reclamation, 6th Edition. Denver, Colorado, 1956.

16. Monfore, G. E., G. J. Verbeck. Corrosion of Prestressed Wire in Concrete. Jour. A. C. I., Vol. 32, No. 5, p 491, Nov. 1960.

17. Kondo, Y., A. Takeda, S. Hidesima. Effect of Admixture on Electrolytic Corrosion of Steel Bars in Reinforced Concrete. Jour. A. C. I., Vol. 31, No. 4, p. 299, October 1959.

J. L. Beaton
R. F. Stratfull

18. Tuthill, L. H. <u>Research and Practice</u>. A. C. I. Proc., Vol. 59, No. 5, p. 626. May 1962.

19. Griffin, D. F., R. L. Henry. <u>Integral Sodium Chloride Effect on Strength, Water Vapor Transmission and Efflorescence of Concrete</u>. Jour. A. C. I., Vol. 58, No. 6. December 1961.

20. Uhlig, H. H., Editor, <u>Corrosion Handbook</u>, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1948.

- Spellman, D. L. and Stratfull, R.F., *"Concrete Variables and Corrosion Testing"* Highway Research Record 423, 1973.

- Beaton, J. L. , Stratfull, R. F., *"Environmental Influence on the Corrosion of Reinforcing Steel in Concrete Bridge Substructures"*, California Department of Highways, Sacramento, California - Jan. 1973

PRAZO PARA DETERIORAÇÃO DE INFRA-ESTRUTURAS
DE CONCRETO ARMADO

CAUSA : CORROSÃO DA ARMADURA

SEGUNDO R.F.STRATFULL-CALIFORNIA

DIVISION OF HIGHWAYS.

$$T = \frac{18,14 \times 1,001824^{C} \times c^{0,717} \times S^{1,22}}{(CL)^{0,42} \times W^{1,17}}$$

ONDE :

T = PRAZO,EM ANOS,PARA A DETERIORAÇÃO

C = KG DE CIMENTO POR M^{3} DE CONCRETO

S = COBRIMENTO,EM CM,DA ARMADURA

CL= CONCENTRAÇÃO DE CLORETOS,EM MILIGRAMAS
POR LITRO (MG/L), NO SOLO OU NA ÁGUA
EM CONTATO FÍSICO COM A INFRA-ESTRUTURA.

W = PORCENTAGEM (%) EM VOLUME DA ÁGUA TOTAL
CONTIDA NA MISTURA DO CONCRETO.

CONDIÇÃO DE 205 PONTES X IDADE NA DATA DA INSPEÇÃO X CONCENTRAÇÃO DE CLORETOS

R. F. STRATFULL — CORROSION ENGINEER, CALIFORNIA DIVISION OF HIGHWAYS.

INÍCIO DA CORROSÃO DAS ARMADURAS (EM ANOS) FUNÇÃO DA CONCENTRAÇÃO DE CLORETOS NA ÁGUA (em mg/litro)

(segundo R.F. Stratfull)

Cloreto (Cl⁻) — mg / litro

- C = 390 ; A/C = 0,56
- C = 430 ; A/C = 0,45
- C = 530 ; A/C = 0,39

▶ ÁGUA DO MAR NO BRASIL – CLORETOS (CL⁻) = 17.500 mg/litro

COBRIMENTO = 10 cm

C = Kg CIMENTO POR m³

A/C = FATOR ÁGUA/CIMENTO

INÍCIO DA CORROSÃO DAS ARMADURAS (EM ANOS) FUNÇÃO DA CONCENTRAÇÃO DE CLORETOS NA ÁGUA (em mg/litro)

(segundo R. F. Stratfull)

Cloreto (Cl⁻)

mg / litro

C = 390 ; A/C = 0,56
C = 430 ; A/C = 0,45
C = 530 ; A/C = 0,39

10 000

1000

100

10

1

0   10   20   30   40   50   60   70   ANOS

▶ ÁGUA DO MAR NO BRASIL – CLORETOS (CL⁻) = 17.500 mg/litro

COBRIMENTO = 7 cm

C = Kg CIMENTO POR m³

A/C = FATOR ÁGUA/CIMENTO

INÍCIO DA CORROSÃO DAS ARMADURAS (EM ANOS) FUNÇÃO DA CONCENTRAÇÃO DE CLORETOS NA ÁGUA (em mg/litro)

(segundo R. F. Stratfull)

Cloreto (Cl⁻)

mg / litro

C = 390 ; A/C = 0,56
C = 430 ; A/C = 0,45
C = 530 ; A/C = 0,39

ANOS

▶ ÁGUA DO MAR NO BRASIL - CLORETOS (CL⁻) = 17.500 mg/litro

COBRIMENTO = 5 cm

C = Kg CIMENTO POR m³

A/C = FATOR ÁGUA/CIMENTO

INÍCIO DA CORROSÁO DAS ARMADURAS (EM ANOS) FUNÇÁO DA CONCENTRAÇÁO DE CLORETOS NA ÁGUA (em mg/litro)

(segundo R. F. Stratfull)

Cloreto (Cl⁻)

mg / litro

C = 390 ; A/C = 0,56

C = 430 ; A/C = 0,45

C = 530 ; A/C = 0,39

10 000

1000

100

10

1

0   10   20   30   40   50   60   70   ANOS

▶ ÁGUA DO MAR NO BRASIL - CLORETOS (CL⁻) = 17.500 mg/litro

COBRIMENTO = 3 cm

C = Kg CIMENTO POR m³

A/C = FATOR ÁGUA/CIMENTO

Ponte da Marambaia / RJ / Brasil

Ponte da Marambaia / RJ / Brasil